

**STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW**

Applicant wishes to thank Examiner Barot for conducting a telephone interview with Applicant's representative, David A. Divine, on March 28, 2008.

During the interview, Applicant's representative traversed the rejections under § 102 based on the Bracho patent. Specifically, Applicant's representative pointed out that Bracho fails to expressly or inherently disclose "receiving at least one network attachment point change event subscription; receiving at least one network attachment point change event publication; and for each network attachment point change event publication matching a network attachment point change event subscription, notifying the network attachment point change event subscriber of the matching published network attachment point change event," as presently recited in independent claim 1.

The Examiner took the position that the term "network attachment point change event" could be interpreted broadly to read on the Bracho patent. Without conceding the propriety of this interpretation, Applicant proposed to amend the independent claims to further define the "network attachment point change event."

The Examiner tentatively agreed that the independent claims, amended as discussed during the interview, would be patentable over the Bracho patent.

Applicant thanks the Examiner for this indication and submits that the pending claims, amended as discussed during the interview, are allowable for at least the reasons discussed during the interview.